

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 09/30/08
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Grand Prairie

State: TX

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$5,981,270

PJ's Size Grouping*: C

PJ Since (FY): 1995

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|-----------|---------------|------------|------------------|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | C | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | | PJs in State: 38 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 86.41 % | 92.97 % | 33 | 94.94 % | 10 | 8 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 82.12 % | 82.82 % | 23 | 84.35 % | 32 | 30 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 0 | 3.89 | 24 | 4.59 | 0 | 0 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 81.38 % | 1 | 81.38 % | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 12.46 % | 57.46 % | 35 | 68.05 % | 2 | 2 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 0.00 % | 70.67 % | 34 | 79.65 % | 0 | 0 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 0.00 % | 37.06 % | 31 | 44.76 % | 0 | 0 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 0.00 % | 96.07 % | 34 | 94.31 % | 0 | 0 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | 34 / 38 | Nationally: | 6 4 | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$219,499 | \$13,899 | | \$25,245 | 1 Units | 0.60 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$27,394 | \$9,312 | | \$14,395 | 31 Units | 20.00 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$27,156 | \$29,885 | | \$20,186 | 123 Units | 79.40 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$3,715 | | \$3,142 | 0 Units | 0.00 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (57 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (194 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (297 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Grand Prairie TX

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$219,499 | \$33,451 | \$28,686 |
| State:* | \$49,529 | \$58,417 | \$32,549 |
| National:** | \$88,539 | \$71,594 | \$22,853 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 0.0 %
National Avg: 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.75

| RACE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|---|--|--|---|
| White: | 0.0 | 17.4 | 42.1 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 0.0 | 52.2 | 41.3 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

ETHNICITY:

| | | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|---|
| Hispanic | 0.0 | 30.4 | 16.5 | 0.0 |
|----------|---|--|--|---|

HOUSEHOLD SIZE:

| | | | | |
|--------------------|---|--|--|---|
| 1 Person: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.5 | 0.0 |
| 2 Persons: | 0.0 | 43.5 | 29.8 | 0.0 |
| 3 Persons: | 0.0 | 43.5 | 13.2 | 0.0 |
| 4 Persons: | 0.0 | 13.0 | 5.8 | 0.0 |
| 5 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 |
| 6 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

HOUSEHOLD TYPE:

| | | | | |
|------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| Single/Non-Elderly: | 0.0 | 13.0 | 30.6 | 0.0 |
| Elderly: | 0.0 | 4.3 | 52.9 | 0.0 |
| Related/Single Parent: | 0.0 | 69.6 | 9.1 | 0.0 |
| Related/Two Parent: | 0.0 | 8.7 | 5.8 | 0.0 |
| Other: | 0.0 | 4.3 | 1.7 | 0.0 |

SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:

| | | |
|----------------|---|---|
| Section 8: | 0.0 | 30.4 [#] |
| HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | |
| Other: | 0.0 | |
| No Assistance: | 0.0 | |

of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 15

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



**HOME PROGRAM
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS**
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Grand Prairie




State: TX

Group Rank: 6
(Percentile)

State Rank: 34 / 38 PJs

Overall Rank: 4
(Percentile)

Summary: 3 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|------------|---|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 72.00% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 47.30% | 12.46 |  |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 0 |  |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 89.50% | 0 |  |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 3.060 | 2.04 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

